Understanding Society User Support - Support #950

IP8 interviewer numbers

04/05/2018 09:28 AM - Jonathan Burton

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	04/05/2018
Priority:	Normal	% Done:	100%
Assignee:			
Category:			

Description

Hi,

I am raising this on behalf of Dana Garbarski who contacted me directly - dgarbarski@luc.edu

It's a follow up on issue 745 - https://iserswww.essex.ac.uk/support/issues/745 - and relates to the interviewer numbers at IP8. The issue 745 was closed with the note that "This will be addressed for the release of IP9 data." However, it looks like this was not done.

Dana's email:

I just got around to downloading the data for wave 9, and it looks like the issue with the interviewer numbers is not resolved—the interviewer id is in the xivdata does not match the interviewer id when I try to merge with household data in wave 8 (h_hhsamp_ip), although it will work with households in waves 7 and 9). So it looks like the interviewer ids in wave 8 household data have been misnamed with this release. Let me know if I'm missing something or if there is another release planned when you have a chance.

History

#1 - 04/05/2018 12:20 PM - Jonathan Burton

You should be able to fix it by subtracting 21000000 from positive numbers if they are above 42000000. This will bring it into the correct range. The corrected version will be released with IP10 data this summer.

#2 - 04/05/2018 12:22 PM - Jonathan Burton

i_intnum in i_indresp_ip is not correct at all - this will be addressed at IP10 release

#3 - 04/05/2018 12:46 PM - Stephanie Auty

- Status changed from New to Feedback
- % Done changed from 0 to 80
- Private changed from Yes to No

#4 - 04/05/2018 01:19 PM - Dana Garbarski

Jonathan Burton wrote:

You should be able to fix it by subtracting 21000000 from positive numbers if they are above 42000000. This will bring it into the correct range. The corrected version will be released with IP10 data this summer.

#5 - 04/05/2018 01:21 PM - Dana Garbarski

Unfortunately, subtracting 21000000 from all eligible (not missing, -9/-1) h_intnum in the wave 8 household data from this release does not seem to address the issue completely. This only leads to 580 household matches using the wave 8 household data from this release, compared to 1,192 using the wave 8 household data from the prior release (and xivdata from this release). This is pasted below. For now I will continue to use the wave 8 household data from the prior release. Let me know if you need more information from me. Thanks for following up so guickly on this! -Dana

. tab _merge

_merge	Freq.	Pe	rcent	Cum.	
		+-			
ma	ster only (1)	1,158	13.45	13.45
u	sing only (2)	6,874	79.82	93.27
	matched (3)	580	6.73	100.00
		+-			
	Tot	al	8,612	100.00	

. tab _merge

04/09/2024 1/2

_merge	Freq.	Percen	t	Cum.	
	ster only (·+ ′1)	 546	6.38	6.38
	sing only (6 , 817	79.68	86.07
	matched ((3)	1,192	13.93	100.00
	Tot	+ al	 8,555	100.00	

#6 - 08/14/2018 04:42 PM - Stephanie Auty

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved
- % Done changed from 80 to 100

This issue was resolved in the IP10 data release.

04/09/2024 2/2