

Understanding Society User Support - Support #246

Xwaveid pidp

02/27/2014 09:10 AM - Rory Coulter

Status:	Closed	Start date:	02/27/2014
Priority:	High	% Done:	100%
Assignee:	Redmine Admin		
Category:	Data inconsistency		

Description

This is a potentially very simple (and quite possibly silly) question, but its not one I've yet been able to fathom. When I extract the xwaveid dataset (in Stata 13) from SN6614, the pidp variable summary doesn't match that shown in the online documentation <www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/documentation/mainstage/dataset-documentation/wave/xwave/datafile/xwaveid/variable/pidp>

This seems to be because there are 27925 pidp cases where the pidp number is 0. According to the online summary, there shouldn't be any cases where pidp==0.

Is there something very obvious I am missing here?

Thanks,
Rory

History

#1 - 02/27/2014 09:12 AM - Rory Coulter

Just to confirm: that query relates to SN6614, not the 'M3' dataset. My mistake.

#2 - 02/28/2014 09:34 AM - Redmine Admin

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- % Done changed from 0 to 90

By way of clarification, we operate we three types of variables for the documentation, a) continuous variables, b) categorical variables, and c) identifiers. The first type is documented with basic summery statistics, the second with frequencies, and third type only with an overall count of values greater than zero ("[value GT 0]").

Looks like your example is reported as a type a instead of a type c. Thanks for making us aware of this inconsistency. The way we intend to report pidp can be seen here;

https://www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/documentation/mainstage/dataset-documentation/wave/3/datafile/c_indall/variable/pidp

Jakob

#3 - 03/06/2014 04:07 PM - Redmine Admin

- Status changed from In Progress to Closed

- % Done changed from 90 to 100