

## Understanding Society User Support - Support #2110

### Weights invalid stset

05/24/2024 07:00 PM - Giovanni Greco

<b>Status:</b>	Feedback	<b>Start date:</b>	05/24/2024
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>% Done:</b>	90%
<b>Assignee:</b>	Olena Kaminska		
<b>Category:</b>	Weights		
<b>Description</b>			
Hi all, I am analysing survival data and I am using <code>indinui_lw</code> weights for people aged between 16 and 35 years old. However, when I <code>stset</code> my data, most of my weights happen to be invalid and Stata deletes them (weights already are constant within <code>pidp</code> ). How can I solve this issue? Thank you very much in advance.			

### History

#### #1 - 05/25/2024 04:27 PM - Giovanni Greco

I just managed to solve the issue of invalidity by adding one conventional unit. Basically I generate a new variable, which equals my weight + 1. In this way, I don't have zeros anymore. Now `stset` works. However, I am in doubt whether adding that 1 unit is allowed, or whether instead it messes up the proportionality and function of weights. Thank you.  
Giovanni

#### #2 - 05/28/2024 09:52 AM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Category set to Weights
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Assignee changed from Understanding Society User Support Team to Olena Kaminska
- % Done changed from 0 to 10
- Private changed from Yes to No

Many thanks for your enquiry. The Understanding Society team is looking into it and we will get back to you as soon as we can. We aim to respond to simple queries within 48 hours and more complex issues within 7 working days.

#### #3 - 06/03/2024 12:20 PM - Olena Kaminska

Giovanni,

Survival analysis is unique because it allows for nonresponse correction within the analysis (through truncation). Therefore you should provide the base weight (the weight at the start of your analysis), which should be non-zero for everyone in analysis, and let the survival analysis account for attrition. Please let the analysis know when each person dropped out (through truncation).

And yes, adding +1 to weight is wrong and will make them unrepresentative. You can multiply weights by any (positive) number, but you can't add something as indeed this will change their relative values (which is how they work).

Hope this helps,  
Olena

#### #4 - 06/07/2024 07:56 AM - Giovanni Greco

Good morning Olena,  
thank you very much. Since my panel is unbalanced, would it make sense to use the three available base weights? (`f_indinui_xw`, `b_indinub_xw`, `a_indinus_xw`) In this case, I would use, for each individual, the oldest available weight they have. Therefore, if an individual enters the panel in wave 2, I will apply `b_indinub_xw`, and if the individual enters the panel in wave 6, I will apply `f_indinui_xw`. And if I understood well, then, if an individual enters the panel in wave 7, I won't have weights for that person and I will have to delete him/her. Right?

Thank you once again,  
Giovanni

#### #5 - 06/11/2024 12:58 PM - Olena Kaminska

Giovanni,

No, you can't use `_xw` weights split for just some of the samples as they are created to represent the population conditional on full use of samples. Theoretically you could start with issue weights, but just a warning that UKHLS design is very complex and you would need to fully understand it before you can combine it correctly.

A shortcut could be: use wave 1 BHPS xw weight (1991 from 1991), with 1999 and 2001, add GPS+EMB wave 1 xw weight for GPS and EMB samples. Make sure to post-stratify by country as distributions will be wrong. Depending on how you do it, you would also need to post-stratify by new immigrants (the proportion of those that immigrated between 1991 and 2009 and their children born in this country should be correct, and separately those between 1999 and 2009, and 2001 and 2009 should also be correct). This is a simple approach.

It would be much more complicated to add IEMB boost as it was not designed to be used on its own and only in combination with other samples (wave 6 weights combines them together). But if you are not interested in ethnic groups and immigrants, you can just drop this sample. Or go through a complicated way of combining them, for which I would recommend reading sample design here as a starting point:

<https://www.understandingsociety.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/documentation/user-guides/6614-user-guide-ethnicity-immigration-research.pdf>

Hope this helps,  
Olena

**#6 - 07/16/2024 04:36 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team**

- *Status changed from In Progress to Feedback*

- *% Done changed from 10 to 90*