

## Understanding Society User Support - Support #1919

### Marital status (mastat\_dv & marstat\_dv)

06/09/2023 06:07 PM - Luis Ortiz

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	06/09/2023
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Assignee:</b>	Understanding Society User Support Team		
<b>Category:</b>	Derived variables		
<b>Description</b>			
Dear colleagues,			
I'm wondering which of the derived variables of marital status to use for my analysis.			
When I cross-tabulate both variables, I see that they do not fit perfectly. In the image pasted to the Word file that I'm attaching to this query, it may be seen that some individuals who (in same wave) were assigned to the category 'Married/Civil partner' in the 'Harmonised de facto marital status' variable are distributed in different categories, and not all of them are Married (mastat_dv)			
I would greatly appreciate to know why this could happen, and to get any advice in relation to the variable to use.			
Thanks for your attention			
And best wishes			
Luis			

### History

#### #1 - 06/13/2023 05:03 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Status changed from New to Feedback

- % Done changed from 0 to 70

Hi Luis,

mastat\_dv is more detailed and has slightly less missing values than marstat\_dv. The reason behind is that marstat\_dv is harmonised with the British Household Panel Survey (BHPS) in which some information is not available, hence the limitations. If you don't use the BHPS data, then you can consider using mastat\_dv, if you do use BHPS then you might need marstat\_dv.

I hope it helps.

Best wishes,  
Piotr,  
UKHLS User Support

#### #2 - 06/13/2023 05:03 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Private changed from Yes to No

#### #3 - 11/30/2023 01:09 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

- % Done changed from 70 to 100

### Files

Marstat\_dv mastat\_dv.docx

242 KB

06/09/2023

Luis Ortiz