

Understanding Society User Support - Support #1692

Data Query

05/02/2022 03:51 PM - Stewart Dunlop

| | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|------------|
| Status: | Resolved | Start date: | 05/02/2022 |
| Priority: | Normal | % Done: | 100% |
| Assignee: | Alita Nandi | | |
| Category: | Data management | | |
| Description | | | |
| Hi, | | | |
| I'm a 2nd Year Ph.D at Glasgow University, researching happiness. I've been trying to replicate and then update a 2003 study by Andrew Clark: | | | |
| "Unemployment as a Social Norm: Psychological Evidence from Panel Data" | | | |
| Author(s): Andrew E. Clark | | | |
| Source: Journal of Labor Economics , Vol. 21, No. 2 (April 2003), pp. 323-351. | | | |
| This paper uses the 1st 7 waves of the BHPS to develop a model explaining whether happiness among the unemployed is affected by living in an area of high unemployment. | | | |
| My issue concerns the sample size. Clark (p327) clearly states that his sample size from the 1st 7 waves is 39,477 observations. However, when I download the 1991-97 data, I get 42,244. These figures refer to individuals aged between 16-64 who are active in the labour force. | | | |
| I have tried to reconcile these two totals, so far unsuccessfully. It is not due to proxies (which Clark MAY have avoided using, although he doesn't state this). Neither is it due to different definitions of the labour force. I've used the BHPS variable jbstat and Clark appears to have also done so. | | | |
| My supervisor has suggested that there may have been an exercise where early BHPS waves were recalibrated, perhaps when paper copies were digitised? However, I can't find evidence of this in any of the guides. | | | |
| I would be very grateful if anyone could provide assistance with this question. | | | |
| Thnks, | | | |
| Stewart Dunlop. | | | |

History

#1 - 05/03/2022 12:17 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- % Done changed from 0 to 80

Based on the numbers it seems they have excluded telephone and proxy interviews and the ECHP sample that was temporarily added to BHPS from Waves 7-11. This is not explicitly mentioned in the data section of the paper where this sample size 39477 is mentioned.

By appending data from the first 7 wave of BHPS we get 69,070 person-year observations. Then restricting the sample to those who are active in the labour force and aged 16-65 years but are not in the ECHP sample and excluding telephone and proxy we get 39477
cou if age>=16 & age<=65 & inlist(jbstat,1,2,3) & hhorig==3 & ivfio==1

Hope this helps. If you have further questions please let us know.

Best wishes,
Understanding Society User Support Team.

#2 - 05/03/2022 12:17 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Private changed from Yes to No

#3 - 05/04/2022 10:31 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Status changed from New to Feedback

#4 - 06/06/2022 07:50 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

- % Done changed from 80 to 100

#5 - 08/22/2023 01:36 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Category changed from Data analysis to Data management