

## Understanding Society User Support - Support #1388

### Merging geographical special license data

07/29/2020 09:23 PM - James Risk

<b>Status:</b> Resolved	<b>Start date:</b> 07/29/2020
<b>Priority:</b> High	<b>% Done:</b> 100%
<b>Assignee:</b>	
<b>Category:</b>	
<b>Description</b> Hi,  I've merged two geographical special license datasets (SN7630 and SN7248) with the main dataset (SN6614) for each wave 1-9 using the variable hidp, but there appears to be more observations (hidps) in the special license datasets than the main dataset. Approximately 77% of observations across waves 1-9 are matched, 23% in special licence and not matched, and <1% in the main dataset and not matched. I presume there's either an error in my code or a valid reason for this? Any help would be appreciated.  Many Thanks, James	

#### History

##### #1 - 07/30/2020 02:30 AM - Alita Nandi

- Status changed from New to Feedback
- Assignee set to James Risk
- % Done changed from 0 to 50
- Private changed from Yes to No

Hello,

The geographical special license data files include households with valid postcodes including those that did not respond. So, if you merge these geography files with w\_hhsamp (households issued including non-responding households) then these would match except for the households in w\_hhsamp without valid postcodes. But if you merge these geography files with w\_hhresp, w\_indall, w\_indresp which include responding households only, then there will be households in the geography files that will not appear in these files.

Does this answer your question?

Best wishes,  
Alita

##### #2 - 07/30/2020 01:57 PM - James Risk

Hi Alita,

It does, thanks for your help!

Kindest,  
James

##### #3 - 11/23/2020 08:13 AM - Alita Nandi

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved
- % Done changed from 50 to 100

##### #4 - 10/13/2021 11:23 AM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Assignee deleted (James Risk)