Understanding Society User Support - Support #1275

Religion affiliation BHPS Wave 7 and 9

11/14/2019 03:49 PM - Yuliya Kazakova

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	11/14/2019
Priority:	High	% Done:	100%
Assignee:			
Category:			
Description			
Hello,			
I work with ques	stions on religion and find some incor	nsistencies. Maybe you can help r	me in sorting this out.
			urself as belonging to". I've checked the the questionnaires and what we see in the
"Presbyterian/C		ed into two in 1999 - 04 "Church	This happened because category 04 of Scotland" and 05 "Free Church or Free 9.
Church of Scotla		d in both waves. Also, options "Si	nd", 05 "Free Church or Free Presbyterian kh" and "Other" didn't exist in 1997 but
	at the religion distribution in 1997 an ticipated in both waves. For example		es even if we take into account only those which dropped to 85 in 1999.
Do you know wh questionaries?	hat happened with this question betw	veen these two waves and why the	ere are differences between the data and the
Thank you.			
History #1 - 11/18/2019 1	2:16 PM - Yuliya Kazakova		
Hello,			
I just wanted to ac	dd some comments on the religion distribu	ution in 1997 and 1999.	
For example, in 19 Another example,	people who participated in both waves, 19 997 75 people reported being Jewish. Ho in 1999 the 267 Methodist suddenly pop th in 1997 and 1999 waves, so, I would e	wever, in 1999 - only 37. ped up while in 1997 only 61 people r	eported being Methodist (again I compare only those
what he says for t were coded 5. It a	hese Methodists: Look at the Methodists	: they were coded 5 in 1997 and 7 in	sted that probably there is a labeling mistake. This is 1999. In 1999, the Free Church / Free Presbyterians e values for 1997, because the 192 people in your

Maybe this finding can help you.

#2 - 11/18/2019 03:40 PM - Stephanie Auty

- Category set to Religion
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Assignee set to Stephanie Auty
- % Done changed from 0 to 20
- Private changed from Yes to No

Dear Yuliya,

Could you confirm whether you are using the harmonised dataset? If so, are you looking at the harmonised variables, bw_oprlg1, or the original

BHPS variables, bw_oprlg1_bh?

Best wishes, Stephanie

#3 - 11/18/2019 04:02 PM - Yuliya Kazakova

Dear Stephanie,

I am using the harmonised dataset and, yes, I am looking at bw_oprlg1.

Thank you, Yuliya

#4 - 11/18/2019 05:46 PM - Stephanie Auty

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback

- Assignee changed from Stephanie Auty to Yuliya Kazakova
- Target version set to BHPS
- % Done changed from 20 to 70

Dear Yuliya,

This appears to be a coding error in bg_oprlg1. I have referred it to the data team to correct it, but in the meantime you can use bg_oprlg1_bh which is the original BHPS variable, and recode it to match the Understanding Society codes as in bi_oprlg1.

Best wishes, Stephanie

#5 - 11/20/2019 10:26 AM - Yuliya Kazakova

Dear Stephanie,

Thank you for your help and advice.

Best wishes, Yuliya

#6 - 03/02/2021 03:32 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

- Assignee deleted (Yuliya Kazakova)
- % Done changed from 70 to 100