# Understanding Society User Support - Support #1216

## Buckner's Neighbourhood Cohesion Instrument & Neighbourhood Social Cohesion

07/21/2019 06:47 PM - Celine Teo

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	07/21/2019
Priority:	Normal	% Done:	100%
Assignee:			
Category:	Data documentation		
Description			
•	eighbourhood social cohesion as a v the neighbourhood social cohesion	•	ner's Neighbourhood Cohesion Instrument estions.
1. What is the dif	ference that both instruments are m	easuring that both were included	into the survey?
"Note that Under of 6 items measu		F_SCOPNGBHC F_SCOPNGE	o the neighbourhood (F_SCOPNGBHF), 3 out HH) and 4 out of 9 items measuring HB F_SCOPNGBHE). "
a)the number of somewhere?	tems add up to 19 of the 18 question	ns on Buckner's NCI paper, could	d you clarify if there was an overlap
	ere the variables were selected from hbourhood, psychological sense of e		ney best represented each of the 3 categories pouring.
the original instru Social Cohesion Q11B this is a clo Q11E people are Q11F people dor Q11K people in r	Social Cohesion scale using items fro iment by PHDCN, there are 5 questi (PHDCN) ose-knit neighborhood willing to help neighbors	ons in the survey -	pment in Chicago Neighborhoods (PHDCN).
as compared to t	he 4 used by UKHLS, could you clar	ify how the variables were selec	ed from the original instrument as well?
Thank you for yo	ur time.		
History			
-	:07 AM - Alita Nandi		
- Status changed fi	rom New to In Progress		
- Assignee set to G	iundi Knies		

Best wishes, Alita

### #2 - 07/29/2019 03:23 PM - Gundi Knies

- Category set to Data documentation
- Assignee changed from Gundi Knies to Celine Teo
- Target version set to X M
- % Done changed from 0 to 80

Hi Celine,

there is a typo in the variable note -- the attraction subscale in Buckner's instrument only has 3 components, not 4. We'll correct the variable note

#### asap.

As regards the choice of items, we do not have more detailed information on these specific variables. Generally, there is a lot of pressure on questionnaire space and it is not always possible to carry all items in large multi-item scales (but we will carry all items if a scientific case is being made for it). The final questionnaire is the result of a complex process that involves multiple rounds of consultation with topic experts, users and other stakeholders. The decision process considers aspects such as precedents on existing surveys, which items are the most predictive of subscales, and which questions may work well in the field, to name just a few.

Questionnaire/content development is an ongoing process and we welcome suggestions. The designated email address for content suggestions is consult@understandingsociety.ac.uk

Best wishes, Gundi

#### #3 - 08/01/2019 03:57 PM - Celine Teo

Hi Gundi,

Thank you for getting back to me.

I was hoping that you'd be able to let me know the difference between both neighbourhood social cohesion scales since both were included in the survey. Are they measuring different kinds of neighbourhood social cohesion?

Thank you

Celine

#### #4 - 08/01/2019 05:36 PM - Gundi Knies

Hi Celine,

I have not done any research on differences in these two constructs. Some thoughts:

Both instruments were designed for (US-based) community surveys to measure neighbourhood social capital. That is, the questions would have been asked of large numbers of people living in the same neighbourhood to get a community-level estimate of social cohesion. Comparing the nature of the questions, it seems to me that the Chicago items are subjective evaluations of fairly objective circumstances in the neighbourhood, while all questions in Buckner's instrument are about how ego relates to the neighbourhood/neighbours. Buckner's scale may not actually be very good at capturing neighbourhood-level social capital - remember that the UKHLS is not clustered at the neigbourhood level - but it is an important marker of individual social capital and it has been collected for many years in the BHPS. I guess it is up to the analyst to decide which one suits their purposes best.

BW,

Gundi

PS. I would certainly be interested to read any empirical work comparing the performance of these two scales with respect to measuring neighbourhood social capital.

### #5 - 08/14/2020 05:34 PM - Alita Nandi

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback

#### #6 - 08/15/2022 02:53 PM - Understanding Society User Support Team

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved
- Assignee deleted (Celine Teo)
- % Done changed from 80 to 100